Douglas, Jessica

From:

Arnold, Bradley

Sent:

Wednesday, March 02, 2011 10:13 AM

To:

Douglas, Jessica

Subject:

FW: CR 311 bridge repairs

Attachments:

CR 311 bridge repairs 002.jpg; CR 311 bridge repairs 003.jpg; CR 311 bridge repairs 004.jpg; CR 311 bridge repairs 005.jpg; CR 311 bridge repairs 006.jpg; CR 311 bridge repairs 007.jpg;

Locally Funded Off system maintenance agreement 2-10-2011.pdf

Jessica,

Please put this under my reports.

Bradley

From: Cottrell, Scott

Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 10:59 AM

To: Peek, Dianne; Meade, Ron

Cc: Blackwelder, Julia; Wyche, Vickie; Arnold, Bradley; Jackson, Jackey

Subject: FW: CR 311 bridge repairs

Ron and Dianne,

We have reviewed the proposed off system project agreement and have a few concerns.

- 1. The first paragraph states that "Sumter County is a Charter County". However, Sumter County is non-chartered.
- 2. Potentially disconcerting paragraphs are numbers 7 10, shortfalls in funding or cost overruns due to the Federal government declaring something as non-participating, will default to Sumter County and leaves us open to costs that we might not be able to bear. Can this be better defined and limited?
- Also, paragraph 11 leaves Sumter County open for interim maintenance duties, of unknown nature. Can this be defined?
- 4. Furthermore, we request you insert some language that ensures FDOT takes care of MOT and access for the 1 or 2 property owners south of the bridge. There is a potential detour route that we can assist FDOT in arranging, but with FDOT in the lead.
- Finally, as I discussed on the phone with Ron, Sumter County requests FDOT's consideration of using these funds on a different Sumter County Bridge.

Regarding paragraph 5, we review the bridge reports that FDOT does on each bridge every 2 years, and take corrective action within capabilities. In this case, we have replaced several pieces of timber decking, replaced a deteriorated beam, and installed pile jackets as recommended by the report among other things. It is also correctly posted now. See the attached photos.

I understand that in the normal course of events, if FDOT decides not to replace the bridge on CR311W that you would use the funds on the next bridge in your prioritized list, which may or not be in Sumter County.

However, in this case we request 2 things:

- a. Visit the bridge at CR311W to assess the repairs we have done. If it still qualifies for replacement (which it may) then take comments 1-4 above into consideration. If not, then consider paragraph 5. above and b. below.
- b. In light of our repairs and the very low volume on this road, consider using these funds in Sumter County on either 184053 (C-475 over Jumper Creek) or 184052 (C-575 over Spring Run), in that order. Both have SR's in the low 60s and both are posted, and of about the same replacement cost as CR 311W.

We are very appreciative of FDOT for securing the funds to replace 184059 and do not want to lose them. Comments?

Thanks,











